

2026 SCC OnLine SC 1711

In the Supreme Court of India

(BEFORE SANJAY KAROL AND AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, JJ.)

State of Uttar Pradesh ... Appellant(s);

Versus

Ajmal Beg etc. ... Respondent(s).

Misc. Application Nos. 2689-90 of 2025 in (Crl. Appeal Nos. 132-133 of 2017)

Decided on August 20, 2026

Advocates who appeared in this case :

Mr. Sharan Dev Singh Thakur, Sr. A.A.G., Mr. Sudeep Kumar, AOR, Mr. Abhishek Saket, Adv., Ms. Manisha, Adv., Ms. Rupali, Adv., Ms. Reya Paul, Adv., Ms. Harshita Chawla, Adv., Mr. Kunal Chatterji, AOR, For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Shekhar Kumar, AOR, Mr. Shantanu Krishna, AOR, Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, AOR, Mr. Hit Lal, Adv., Mr. Aravindh S., AOR, Mr. Aadithya Aravindh, Adv., Ms. Anika Bansal, Adv., Mr. Avneesh Arputham, AOR, Mr. Ankit Sharma, Adv., Mr. Rajat Bhardwaj, A.A.G., Ms. Baani Khanna, AOR, Mr. Robin Singh, Adv., Mr. Kapil Balwani, Adv., Ms. Komal Thakkar, Adv., Ms. Tejal Tapaswini Nagauri, Adv., Mr. P. I. Jose, AOR, Ms. P S Chandralekha, Adv., Ms. Mrinmayee Sahu, Adv., Ms. Mrinmayee Sahu, AOR, Mr. Sugam Kumar Jha, Adv., Ms. Amruta Padhi, Adv., Mr. Aljo K. Joseph, AOR, Mr. Sashwat Mishra, Adv., Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR, Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv., Mr. Shrirang B. Varma, Adv., Mr. Sourav Singh, Adv., Ms. Chitransha Singh Sikarwar, Adv., Mr. Bhuvan Kapoor, Adv., Mr. Varun Chugh, Adv., Mr. Krishna Kant Dubey, Adv., Mr. Mukesh Kr. Verma, Adv., Mr. Shashwat Parihar, Adv., Mr. Yogesh Vats, Adv., Mr. Shreekant Neelappa Terdal, AOR, Ms. G. Indira, AOR, Mr. Dinesh Kumar Mudgal, Adv., Mr. Devendra Upadhyay, Adv., Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sharma, Adv., Mr. Anupam Kumar, Adv., Mr. Akhileshwar Jha, Adv., Mr. Avnish Kumar, Adv., Mr. Sameer Abhyankar, AOR, Mr. Aryan Srivastava, Adv., Mr. Krishna Rastogi, Adv., Mr. Ankit Roy, AOR, Ms. Mrinalini Ramesh, Adv., Ms. Jaya Pandey, Adv., Mr. T. G. Narayanan Nair, AOR, Ms. Samyuktha H Nair, Adv., Mr. Rahul Gupta, AOR, Mr. Anando Mukherjee, AOR, Mr. Shwetank Singh, Adv., Mr. Utkarsh Anand, Adv., Ms. Sanjana Saddy, AOR, Mr. Aman Choudhary, Adv., Mr. Kunal Chatterji, AOR, Ms. Maitrayee Banerjee, Adv., Mr. Rohit Bansal, Adv., Mr. Varij Nayan Mishra, Adv., Mr. Surjendu Sankar Das, AOR, Mr. Vishwajeet Singh Shekhawat, Adv., Ms. Disha Singh, AOR, Ms. Eliza Barr, Adv., Ms. Akansha, Adv., Ms. Anjali Saxena,

Adv., Mr. Govind Sharma, Adv., Mr. Aaditya Singh Rajawat, Adv., Mr. Arjun Garg, AOR, Ms. Manisha Ambwani, AOR, Ms. Swati Ghildiyal, AOR, Ms. Neha Singh, Adv., Mr. Amit Sharma, AOR, Mr. Dipesh Sinha, Adv. Ms. Pallavi Barua, Adv., Ms. Aparna Singh, Adv., Mr. V.V.M.B.N.S. Pattabhiram, D.A.G., Mr. Aditya Vaibhav Singh Ga, Adv., Mr. Harmeet Singh Ruprah, AOR, Mr. Kanishk Sharma, Adv., Mr. Shekhar Raj Sharma, A.A.G., Mr. Akshay Amritanshu, AOR, Ms. Nidhi Narwal, Adv., Ms. Srishti Jain, Adv., Mr. Sarthak Srivastava, Adv., Mr. Utkarsh Rai, Adv., Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, AOR, Mr. Gopal Jha, AOR, Mr. Tilak Vij, Adv., Ms. Shireesha Sharma, Adv., Mr. Sawan Datta, Adv., Ms. Priyanshi Goel, Adv., Ms. Ratna Priya Pradhan, Adv., Mr. Nimish Arjaria, Adv., Mr. Atul Kumar, Adv., Ms. Sansriti Pathak, A.A.G., Mr. Saurabh Rajpal, Adv., Ms. Nidhi Jaswal, AOR, Mr. Sudarshan Singh Rawat, AOR, Mr. Madhav Gupta, Adv., Ms. Nidhi Jain, Adv., Mr. Sunny Sachin Rawat, Adv., Ms. Radhika Gautam, AOR, Mr. Aditya Bharat Manubarwala, Adv., Mrs. Tanishka Grover, Adv., Mrs. Akriti Aditya Manubarwala, Adv., Mrs. Shaila Arora, Adv., Mrs. Charvi Virmani, Adv., Mrs. Pradnya Sachin Markar, Adv., Mr. Bharat Thakorlal Manubarwala, AOR, Mr. Samir Ali Khan, AOR, Mr. Kashif Irshad Khan, Adv., Mr. Pranjal Sharma, Adv., Mr. Ahanthem Henry, Adv., Mr. Ahanthem Rohen Singh, Adv., Mr. Mohan Singh, Adv., Mr. Aniket Rajput, Adv., Ms. Khoisnam Nirmala Devi, Adv., Mr. Yesu Mehta, Adv., Mr. Tanay Hegde, Adv., Mr. Ravi Kumar Bodhani, Adv., Mr. Kumar Mihir, AOR, Mr. Yashvardhan, Adv., Mr. Apoorv Shukla, AOR, Ms. Prabhleen A. Shukla, Adv., Ms. Ilashri Gaur, Adv., Mr. Nishant Awana, AOR, Ms. Rini Badoni, Adv., Mr. Suraj Kundu, Adv., Mr. Amogh Pandey, Adv., Mr. D. K. Thakur, Adv., Mr. Rajeev Kumar Gupta, Adv., Mr. Tavleen Singh, Adv., Ms. Vallabhi Shukla, Adv., Mr. Rohit Kumar, Adv., Mr. Bimlesh Kumar Singh, AOR, Mr. Neeraj Agarwal, Adv., Mr. Akash Sajan Sapelkar, Adv., Mr. Shekhar Raj Sharma, A.A.G., Mr. Samar Vijay Singh, AOR, Ms. Nidhi Narwal, Adv., Ms. Srishti Jain, Adv., Ms. Sabarni Som, Adv., Mr. Aman Dev Sharma, Adv., Mr. B. Shravanth Shanker, AOR, Ms. Grahita Agarwal, Adv., Mr. I.V. Sai Nikhil, Adv., Ms. Prerna Robin, Adv., Mr. Shivam Kunal, Adv., Mr. Dandu Laxman, Adv., Mr. B. Yeshwanth Raj, Adv., Mr. Shreyas Jayasimha, Adv., Mr. Tushar Gadia, Adv., Mr. Suryansh Jamwal, Adv., Ms. Eliza Barr, Adv., Ms. Akansha, AOR, Mr. Govind Sharma, Adv., Mr. Pukhrambam Ramesh Kumar, AOR, Mr. Karun Sharma, Adv., Ms. Anupama Ngangom, Adv., Ms. Rajkumari Divyasana, Adv., Mr. Sunny Choudhary, AOR, Mr. Guntur Pramod Kumar, AOR, Ms. Prerna Singh, Adv., Mr. Dhruv Yadav, Adv., Ms. Deepika Nandakumar, AOR, Ms. Kanimozhi J., Adv., Mr. Gunnam Venkateswara Rao, AOR, Ms. Devyani Mahra, Adv., Mr. Manoj Kumar Dwivedi, Adv., Mr. Poli Naidu Vudamala, Adv., Mr. Shantanu Sagar For Patna High Court, Adv., Mr. Anil Kumar, Adv., Mr. Gunjesh Ranjan, Adv., Mr. Prakash Kumar Mangalam, Adv.,

Mr. Manoneet Dwivedi, Adv., Mr. Abhishek Kumar Gupta, Adv., Ms. Anshala Verma, Adv., Mr. Ruchira Gupta, S.C., Ms. Ishika Gupta, Adv., Mr. Shantanu Sagar, AOR, Mr. Kunal Mimani, AOR, Ms. Kanika Kalaiyarasan, AOR, Mr. Abhay Kumar, AOR, Mr. Shagun Ruhil, Adv., Mr. Shreenivash, Adv., Mr. Karan Chopra, Adv., Ms. Sakshi Sharma, Adv., Mr. Sanchit Garga, AOR, Mr. Kunal Rana, Adv., Mr. Shashwat Jaiswal, Adv., Ms. Diksha Arora, Adv., Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, Adv., Mr. Vijender Kumar, Adv., Ms. Oorja Goel, Adv., Ms. Ankita Sharma, AOR, Mr. Rushab Aggarwal, Adv., Mr. Pashupathi Nath Razdan, AOR, Ms. Devina Sehgal, AOR, Mr. Srikanth Varma Mudunuru, Adv., Ms. Nidhi Mittal, AOR, Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, AOR, Mrs. Shashi Pathak, Adv., Mr. Arvind Kumar Tripathi, Adv., Mr. Robin Kumar, Adv., Mr. Harshad V. Hameed, AOR, Mr. Amit Pai, AOR, Ms. Pankhuri Bhardwaj, Adv., Ms. Bhavana Duhoon, Adv., Mr. Abhiyudaya Vats, Adv., Mr. Shathaayu Patil, Adv., Mr. Niranjana Sahu, AOR, Mr. Avijit Mani Tripathi, AOR, Mr. Z.H. Isaac Haiding, Adv., Mr. Daniel Stone Lyngdoh, Adv., Ms. Marbiang Khongwir, Adv., Mr. T.K. Nayak, Adv., Mr. Kunal Vajani, A.A.G., Mr. Sanchit Garga, AOR, Mr. Guneet S. Sidhu, Adv., Mr. Amitoj Chadha, Adv., Ms. Kanika Kalaiyarasan, AOR, For Respondent(s)

ORDER

1. This Court *vide* judgment dated 15.12.2025 passed in *State of U.P. v. Ajmal Beg* [Criminal Appeal Nos. 132-133 of 2017], while allowing the said appeals and restoring the judgment of trial court convicting the appellants under section 304-B and 498-A of the Penal Code, 1860, (corresponding Sections 80 and 85 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023) noted that while in this case, the accused person(s) has finally been brought to book, there are many cases in which it is not happen. Many, who openly seek and give dowry, go scot-free. It has been noted time and again, in various judicial pronouncements that Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 suffers from various difficulties in its implementation. This Court further, acknowledged that when it comes to the giving and taking of dowry in particular, this practice unfortunately has deep roots in society, hence, it being not a matter of swift change, a concentrated effort is needed on the part of all the involved parties, be it Legislature, Judiciary, law enforcement agencies, civil society organizations etc. Therefore, to address the systemic delay and ineffective implementation attending prosecutions under Section 304-B and Section 498-A, IPC and the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 following directions were issued:

“26. With an intent to further this change, we issue the following directions:

- (a) to ensure that the change brought in is able to make an impact on the efforts to eradicate this evil, it is to be ensured that the future generation, youngsters of today, are informed

and made aware about this evil practice and the necessity to eschew it. As such, it is directed that States and even the Union Government consider changes as are necessary to the educational curricula across levels, reinforcing the constitutional position that parties to a marriage are equal to one another and one is not subservient to the other as is sought to be established by giving and taking of money and or articles at the time of marriage;

- (b) The law provides for the appointment of *Dowry Prohibition Officers* 40 in States. It is to be ensured that these officers are duly deputed, aware of their responsibilities and given the necessary wherewithal to carry out the duties entrusted to them. The contact details (name, official phone number and email ID) of such an officer designated to this position are disseminated adequately by the local authorities ensuring awareness of citizens of the area;
- (c) the police officials, as also the judicial officers dealing with such cases, should periodically be given training, equipping them to fully appreciate the social and psychological implications which are often at the forefront of these cases. This would also ensure a sensitivity of the concerned officials towards genuine cases versus those which are frivolous and abusive of the process of law;
- (d) it is not lost on us that the instant case began in 2001 and could only be concluded 24 years later by way of this judgment. It is but obvious that there would be many such similar cases. The High Courts are requested to take stock of the situation, ascertain the number of cases pending dealing with Section 304-B, 498-A from the earliest to the latest for expeditious disposal; and
- (e) in furtherance of (a) above, we also recognize that many people today are/have been outside the education fold, and that it is equally, if not more so, important to reach them and make accessible and comprehensible, the relevant information regarding the act of giving or taking of dowry as also other acts sometimes associated therewith, other times independent thereof (mental and physical cruelty) is an offence in law. The District Administration along with the District Legal Services Authorities, by engaging and involving civil society groups and dedicated social activists, is requested to conduct workshops/awareness programs at regular intervals. This is to ensure change at the grassroot level.

27. Let a copy of this judgment be circulated electronically to the Registrar General of the High Courts who are requested to place the

same before the Learned Chief Justices and solicit directions in accordance with the above, and also, to the Chief Secretaries of all States for necessary follow up action.

27.1 While the main appeals are disposed of, so far as these directions are concerned, to ensure compliance thereof, as also issue any other directions as may be necessary, list this matter after four weeks. States are requested to file affidavits indicating the position qua the direction given under (b) hereinabove. The High Courts shall do the same for (d).”

2. Pursuant to the aforesaid directions, affidavits and data have been filed by several High Courts, States and Union Territories. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the States and, in particular, Mr. Kunal Chatterji, learned counsel, who has assisted this Court by placing on record a consolidated analysis of the affidavits/data so filed, along with suggestions received from the High Courts, States and Union Territories, and a set of proposed directions for the consideration of this Court.

3. Having considered the material placed before us and the submissions advanced, we now proceed to pass the following directions:

- i. Strengthening of Institutional Support Mechanisms: States and Union Territories shall ensure effective functioning and dissemination of information regarding Dowry Prohibition Officers appointed/designated under the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, and shall strengthen:
 - a. One Stop Centres,
 - b. Family Counselling Centres,
 - c. Women Help Desks,
 - d. victim assistance mechanisms,
 - e. helplines, and
 - f. online grievance redressal systems for facilitating access to support and legal remedies for aggrieved women.
- ii. Awareness and Educational Measures: States and Union Territories, in coordination with Departments of Education, Women and Child Development Departments, State Legal Services Authorities and other appropriate bodies, shall undertake sustained awareness and sensitization programmes concerning:
 - a. the social evil of dowry,
 - b. gender equality,
 - c. constitutional values,
 - d. and women's rights, including through educational curricula, awareness campaigns, community outreach programmes and legal literacy initiatives.

- iii. **Priority Classification and Monitoring of Cases:** All Courts dealing with offences under Sections 304B and 498A IPC/Sections 80 and 85 BNS, 2023 shall, as far as practicable, treat such matters as priority cases and endeavour to ensure expeditious disposal thereof, without adversely affecting the hearing of other categories of cases. The concerned District Judiciary shall identify cases pending beyond three years, particularly those pending at the stage of framing of charge or recording of evidence, and undertake periodic monitoring thereof through monthly or quarterly review mechanisms.
- iv. **Time-Bound Progression of Trial:** All Trial Courts shall endeavour, as far as practicable and subject to compliance with statutory safeguards under the CrPC/BNSS, to:
 - a. secure prompt appearance of accused expeditiously after filing of charge-sheet;
 - b. consider framing of charge preferably within 60 to 90 days from filing of charge-sheet;
 - c. commence recording of evidence within a reasonable period after framing of charge; and
 - d. proceed with recording of evidence on a continuous or day- to-day basis in terms of Section 309 CrPC/Section 346 BNSS, 2023.

Provided the timelines indicated herein may ordinarily be adhered to as directory benchmarks, subject to exceptional cases involving multiple accused, supplementary charge-sheets, forensic delays, non-availability of accused, or other reasons to be recorded.

- v. **Witness Regulation of Adjournments and Management / Witness Calendar:**
 - a. Trial Courts/High Courts shall discourage unnecessary adjournments and ensure that adjournments are granted only upon recording reasons in writing. Where counsel for the accused repeatedly remains absent without sufficient cause, appropriate Legal Aid Counsel or Amicus Curiae may be appointed to ensure that proceedings are not unnecessarily delayed. Additionally, where the Investigating Officer has been transferred or retired, a substitute or holding officer shall be nominated sufficiently in advance to avoid adjournments.
 - b. Immediately after framing of charge, the Trial Court shall prepare a witness calendar indicating dates for examination of material witnesses, service of summons and sequencing of evidence. This will ensure structured witness management mechanisms, as far as practicable, to secure timely attendance

of witnesses and avoid repeated deferment of evidence. Investigating Officers shall ensure prompt service of summons and production of witnesses.

- vi. **Use of Technology and Digital Monitoring:** The High Courts shall endeavour to integrate, within the existing Courts Dashboards/CIS infrastructure:
 - a. stage-wise pendency tracking,
 - b. digital dashboards,
 - c. automated alerts for old pending matters,
 - d. and case monitoring systems in respect of cases arising under Sections 304B and 498A IPC/Sections 80 and 85 BNS.
- vii. **Review of Pending Matters Before High Courts:** All High Courts shall endeavour to periodically review old pending criminal appeals, revisions, petitions under Section 482 CrPC/Section 528 BNSS, 2023 and bail matters, particularly matters involving interim stay of trial proceedings, and take appropriate steps for their expeditious listing and disposal. Wherever proceedings are stayed, such orders may be periodically reviewed in accordance with law.
- viii. **Training, Sensitization and Specialized Prosecution:** All States/Union Territories and Hon'ble High Courts shall ensure periodic training and sensitization programmes for:
 - a. Judicial Officers.
 - b. Police Officials,
 - c. Prosecutors,
 - d. Protection Officers,
 - e. Counsellors, and
 - f. Other stakeholders dealing with dowry-related offences, through Judicial Academies, Police Training Institutions and other appropriate bodies.States may also endeavour to designate prosecutors having experience and sensitization in offences against women for conducting prosecutions under Sections 304B and 498A IPC.
- ix. **Mediation/Counselling in Appropriate Matrimonial Disputes:** In cases arising primarily out of matrimonial discord and not involving allegations of death, grievous physical violence, or other serious offences, the concerned Courts may, wherever permissible in law and considered appropriate, explore the possibility of mediation or counselling through duly mediators/counsellors, without compromising the rights of parties or the seriousness of cognizable offences.
- x. **Compliance and Periodic Reporting:** All High Courts and States/Union Territories shall file status/compliance reports on

15th of January, May and September before this Court for consideration and further directions, if any, until the pendency of cases under Sections 304B and 498A IPC/corresponding Sections 80 and 85 of BNS, 2023 and cases involving Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 is substantially reduced, indicating, inter alia, the following:

- a. pendency and disposal statistics,
- b. stage-wise status of cases,
- c. awareness initiatives undertaken,
- d. appointment/designation of Dowry Prohibition Officers,
- e. training programmes conducted,
- f. and measures adopted pursuant to the present directions.

4. List the cases with compliance-cum-periodic reports on 15th October, 2026.

5. We appreciate the efforts put in by Mr. Kunal Chatterji, learned counsel, and learned senior counsel/counsel appearing for the respective parties.

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Miscellaneous Application Nos. 2689-2690/2025 in Crl.A. Nos. 132-
133/2017

The State of Uttar Pradesh.....Petitioner(s)

v.

Ajmal Beg Etc.....Respondent(s)

For Admission

Upon hearing the counsel the Court made the following

ORDER

1. List the matters with compliance cum periodic reports on 15.10.2026.

2. The Registry to communicate a copy of this order to all concerned forthwith.

Disclaimer: While every effort is made to avoid any mistake or omission, this casenote/ headnote/ judgment/ act/ rule/ regulation/ circular/ notification is being circulated on the condition and understanding that the publisher would not be liable in any manner by reason of any mistake or omission or for any action taken or omitted to be taken or advice rendered or accepted on the basis of this casenote/ headnote/ judgment/ act/ rule/ regulation/ circular/ notification. All disputes will be subject exclusively to jurisdiction of courts, tribunals and forums at Lucknow only. The authenticity of this text must be verified from the original source.