**Reading Material On “Narcotic drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985”**

**(Important Judgments on NDPS post 2011)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Sl. No.** | **Contents** |
| **1** | **Hussain and Anr. V. Union of India [Cr. Appeal no. 509 of 2017] – Section 21 (C) of NDPS**Direction issued to disposed of cases within five years by Trial Court and decision of Cases of Under Trial in custody is one of the priority area |
| **2** | **State V. Mushtaq Ahmad & Anothers (2016) 1 SCC 315 – Section 20 (b) 11 (B) or 20 (b) 11 (c) and Section 8** Commericial quantity andIntermediate quantity |
| **3** | **Sekhar Suman Verma V. Supdt. Of Narcotics Control Bureau & Another (2016) 11 SCC 368 – Section 41 & 42, 50, 21** Where search & seizure is conducted by a Gazetted Officer himself acting U/S 41, held, compliance with Section 42 of NDPS Act is not necessary.  |
| **4**  | **Jagat Singh V. State of Uttrakhand (2016) 13 SCC 119 - Section 43, 50 & 8/20**Recovery of contraband in public place |
| **5** | **State of Rajasthan V. Jagraj Singh (2016) 11 SCC 687 – Section 42, 43, 50& 8/15**Vehicle searched if personal/private or public service vehicle determination of  |
| **6** | **Surendra @ Kala V. State of Haryana (2016) 4 SCC 617- Section 18**Investigation if an unfair & improper one |
| **7** | **Mohan Lal V. State of Rajasthan (2015) 6 SCC 222 Section 13, 22, 25, 35, 18**Possession where possible without actual physical control  |
| **8** | **State of Haryana V. Asha Devi & Another (2015) 8 SCC 39 – Section 20, and 52** Search & Seizure |
| **9** | **Kulvinder Singh V. State of Punjab (2015) 6 SCC 674 – Section 35 & 15**Invocation of presumption of culpable mental state  |
| **10** | **Laxmi Nagappa Koli V. Narcotics Control Bureau & Anothers (2015) 13 SCC 598 – Section 8, 17, 18 & 52 A**Retesting of Sample |
| **11** | **Arutla Shankaraih V. State of Andhra Pradesh (2015) 15 SCC 235 - Section 8 © r/w Section 20 (b)**Enough to establish possession of place of recovery, not necessary to establish onwership |
| **12** | **Union of India V. Pradip Shivram Dhond Anothers (2014) 13 SCC 17 – Section 80,8 to 10, 37 and 2 (XIV)**Bail & NDPS Act  |
| **13** | **Krishna Kumar V. State of Haryana (2014) 6 SCC 664 – Section 52 & 18**Search of bag carried by accused, compliance with Section 50 not required  |
| **14** | **State of Rajasthan V. Parmananda & Another (2014) SCC 345 – Section 18 & 29** Joint communication of the right, not sufficient  |
| **15** | **Yashiey Yobin & Another V. Deptt. Of Custom (2014) 13 SCC 344 – Section 50** Recovery of contraband |
| **16** | **Tofan Singh V. State of Tamil Nadu ( 2013) 16 SCC 31 – Section 67, 42, 53, 52, 57 and Section 8 © r/w 21 (C)**Confession recorded by NDPS Officer |
| **17** | **Vijay Jain V. State of M.P. (2013) 14 SCC 527- Section 8 and 21 © - effect of non production of seized material**  |
| **18** | **Ravinder Singh V. State of Gujrat (2013) 12 SCC 446 – Section 439, 437 Cr.P.C.**Feigned ignorance about spurious substance is not a ground for bail, supplier of raw material is also liable.  |
| **19** | **Union of India V. Mohan Lal & others (2012) 7 SCC 719 – Section 52 A and 8/18 r/w Section 29**Handling and disposal of hazardous physical substance |
| **20** | **Daulat Ram & Another V. Crime Branch (Narcotics) (2011) 15 SCC 176 – Section 8 r/w 18** Independent witnesses not supporting prosecution, held, immaterial  |